

Reliability Issues of COTS MEMS for Aerospace Applications

Rajeshuni Ramesham^{a,*}, Reza Ghaffarian^a and Namsoo P. Kim^b

^aJet propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive,
M/S 125-152, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA

^bBoeing Phantom Works, Information, Communication, Sensor and Electronics Technologies
P.O. Box 3999, MS 3W-50, Seattle, WA 98124-2499 USA

ABSTRACT

During the last decade, research and development of microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) has shown a significant promise for a variety of commercial applications including automobile and medical purposes. For example, accelerometers are widely used for air bag in automobile and pressure sensors for various industrial applications. Some of the MEMS devices have potential to become the commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) components. While high reliability applications including aerospace require much more sophisticated technology development, they would achieve significant cost savings and mission assurance if they could utilize COTS components in their systems. This paper reviews the current status of MEMS packaging technology from COTS to specific application provides lessons learned, and finally, identifies a need for a systematic approach for this purpose.

Keywords: Commercial-Off-The-Shelf, COTS, Microelectromechanical Systems, MEMS, Reliability, Pressure Sensors, Accelerometer, and Aerospace Environment

1. INTRODUCTION

Packaging and testing of integrated circuit (IC) is well advanced because of the maturity of the IC industry, their wide applications, and availability of industrial infrastructure.^{1,2} This is not true for MEMS with respect to packaging and testing. It is more difficult to adopt standardized MEMS device packaging for wide applications although MEMS use many similar technologies to IC packaging. Packaging of MEMS devices is more complex since in some cases it needs to provide protection from the environment while in some cases allowing access to the environment to measure or affect the desired physical or chemical parameters. The most of the silicon circuitry is sensitive to temperature, moisture, magnetic field, light, and electromagnetic interference. Microscopic mechanical moving parts of MEMS have also their unique issues. Therefore, testing MEMS packages using the same methodologies, as those for electronics packages with standard procedures might not always be possible especially when quality and reliability need to be assessed.

MEMS package reliability depends on package type, i.e. ceramic, plastic, or metal, and reliability of device. The MEMS device reliability depends on its materials and wafer level processes and sealing methods used for environmental protection. Package reliability issues are discussed in detail below. For completeness, some of the issues at the device level are also included.

1.1. MEMS Device Level Reliability Issues

MEMS devices are usually fabricated at microscopic level. A typical device level process flow before packaging involves surface micromachining or bulk micromachining of wafers, formation of desired pattern along with various bonding techniques followed by subsequent interconnection and cavity sealing in package enclosure. Surface micromachining is a technique for fabricating three dimensional micromachined structures from multilayer stacked and patterned thin films.³ In

* Correspondence: E-mail: Rajeshuni.Ramesham@jpl.nasa.gov, Tel.: 818 354 7190, Fax: 818 393 4382

bulk micromachining, a bulk of wafer is wet or dry etched to fabricate micromachined structures in either silicon crystal or deposited or grown layers on silicon. Bonding is another key process that is used during the fabrication which include field assisted thermal bonding, thermal fusion bonding, eutectic bonding, etc.

Reliability of surface micromachined device depends on materials used and processes employed to build-up by thin film processing, etc. Generally, there are large residual stresses induced in thin films that affect the performance of device to a variable degree. For example, residual stresses in thin film with improper adhesion could cause delamination.

Bulk micromachined devices have their own unique reliability issues. These include sharp corners from anisotropic etching, adhesion issues as discussed above and generally poor quality due a nonoptimized process from many process steps. In contrast, polymer micromachined devices show very high bond strength and low residual stresses. Reliability issues include poor mechanical strength, inability to perform hermetic seals, and use under high vapor pressure. An example of this technology is LIGA (Lithographic, Galvanoformung, Abformtechnik) which stands for lithography, electroplating, and molding that enables the structure of bulk micromachining with feature characteristics of micromachined devices.

Different bonding techniques are used for microsensors attachment each with their unique characteristics. For example, glass to silicon joining can be accomplished by field assisted thermal bonding also known as anodic bonding, electrostatic bonding, or the Mallory process.⁴ Anodic bonding has the advantage of being a lower temperature process with a lower residual stress and less stringent requirements for the surface quality of the wafers. In silicon fusion bonding, no intermediate layers needed, therefore it simplifies device fabrication.⁵ Although eutectic bonding was demonstrated⁶ in bare silicon against gold covered silicon, or gold covered silicon against gold-covered silicon, there are some considerable disadvantages associated with Au/Si (gold/silicon) eutectic bonding. It is difficult to obtain complete bonding over large areas and native oxides prevent the bonding to take place. Eutectic preform bonding is reported to introduce substantial mounting stress in piezoresistive sensors, causing long-term drift due to relaxation of the built-in stress.

After wafer bonding process and interconnection, MEMS devices are generally hermetically sealed within a package. The hermeticity is important for physical protection and in some cases for the device performance. For example, damping characteristics of a resonator in a pressure sensor is critically dependent on a good hermetic seal. In addition, the vacuum reference of an absolute pressure sensor, and the cavity of a pneumatic infrared sensor and microgyro package are all critically dependent on a good hermetic seal package. Minami et al,⁷ used nonevaporable getters built into the microdevice to control the cavity pressure for critical damping of packaged micromechanical devices and similar approaches were proposed in.^{8,9} Organic materials are not good candidate materials for hermetic packages. For almost all high-reliability applications, the hermetic seal is made with glass or metal. Silicones do not act as a moisture barrier; the exact mechanism by which they protect the die when applied, as a surface coat that is not yet well-understood.¹⁰

Reactive sealing and sealant films are other methods with highest performance characteristics especially for sealing process for pressure sensors. Recently, the first commercial absolute poly-silicon pressure sensor, incorporating a reactively sealed vacuum shell, was introduced for automotive applications.¹¹ Another application of the sealed surface shells is the vacuum packaging of lateral surface resonators. Most resonator applications share a need for resonance quality factors from 100 to 10,000. However, the operation of comb-drive microstructures in ambient atmosphere results in low quality factors of less than 100 due to air damping above and below the moving microstructure. Vacuum encapsulation is thus essential for high Q applications.¹²

1.2. MEMS Packages

Packaging of MEMS similar to IC technologies need environmental protection, electrical signal conduit, mechanical support, and thermal management paths. Packaging redistributes electrical signal paths from tight pad dimensions to over larger and more manageable interconnection leads. The mechanical support provides rigidity, stress release, and protection from the environment. Power distribution also needs to be taken into account for optimum packaging scheme. Thermal management is needed to support adequate thermal transport to sustain operation for the product lifetime.

Packaging of MEMS is considerably more complex as they serve to protect from the environment, while, somewhat in contradiction, enabling interaction with that environment in order to measure or affect the desired physical or chemical parameters. A package must also provide communication links through optimum interconnect scheme, remove heat through suitable selection of heat sinks, and provide robustness in handling and testing. The materials used for package should be

selected to withstand not only handling during assembly and testing, but also throughout the operational environment of the product. Its robustness must be proven in terms of mechanical and thermal shocks, vibration, and resistance to chemical and other conventional life cycling tests especially needed for space applications.

The package must also be capable of providing an interior environment compatible with any particular constraints that may affect device performance and reliability. For example, a resonator might need a good vacuum for its operation and packaging scheme need to provide such requirement. MEMS can be integrated with associated electronics on the same chip to produce better electrical output. Integration can be done in the same wafer level or through wafer bonding or utilizing multi-chip module carriers.

Numerous papers published in literature regarding MEMS packaging issues. For example, Frank et al,¹³ has provided detailed overview of the packaging tools that are required for sensors. Madou¹⁴ has discussed a variety of process issues associated with the packaging of MEMS. Senturia and Smith¹⁵ have highlighted the importance of system partitioning, package design and process optimization when building the electronic components and sensor structures as part of the single device. Reichl¹⁶ have described the requirements for packaging technologies, bonding techniques, chip-substrate interconnection techniques, and alternative chip integration techniques to deliver reliable, economical, and application specific solutions by choosing optimized technologies and appropriate materials combinations.

Kim¹⁷ has described packaging scheme of pressure sensor arrays utilizing multi-chip modules and tape automated bonding (TAB) carrier. This one was developed specially for aerospace and aircraft applications thus requiring thin profile packaging with high accuracy.

1.3. MEMS Reliability

Reliability requirements for various MEMS will be significantly different from one application to another especially where the systems incorporating MEMS components are unique. Standardized reliability testing is not possible until common set of reliability requirements is developed. Literature survey on MEMS reliability issues produced limited information but valuable results.

Romig et al,¹⁸ identified a list of packaging reliability concerns for microsystems. Its list contained for the MEMS devices included tribological behavior, contamination, cleaning and stiction and typical mechanical fatigue issue. Brown et al,¹⁹ and reported characterization of MEMS fatigue on polysilicon. Reliability assessment for media compatibility for a gas sensor produced coating requirements²⁰ while a need for new device passivation and alternative chip mounting techniques was identified by Dyrbye et al.²¹

Miller et al,²² reported reliability testing of surface micromachined microengine whose analysis concluded the prevailing failure mode was the gear sticking to the substrate or to the hub and showed that significant portion of the microengine failure was infant mortality. In another paper, Tanner et al,²³ observed a large amounts of debris in the areas of microengine rubbing which led to the failure of drive gears. They have also presented qualitative and predictive model for actuator reliability. In their recent study, the effect of moisture content on failure by wear mechanism was determined. It was shown that as the humidity decreased the volume of debris generated increased. For the higher humidity levels, the formation of surface hydroxides considered to act as a lubricant resulting in lower amounts of wear debris.²³ Patton et al,²⁵ also showed the effect humidity on failure mechanism for MEMS electrostatic lateral output motor. Electrical performance degraded with increased humidity whereas mechanical seizure showed mixed results. At a very low and high humidity, failure occurred mechanically and electrically, respectively, whereas improvement observed below and above 40% RH. Kelly et al,²⁶ have described the issues how packaging influence the reliability and performance characteristics of MEMS.

JPL has been very active in MEMS characterization and their implementation for aerospace applications. For example, an extensive reliability testing of MEMS devices especially for space applications was done by Muller et al,²⁷ who provided a comparison for testing environments for space applications with automotive environment. Tang et al,²⁸ have described extensively on design, fabrication, and packaging of a silicon MEMS vibratory gyroscope for microspacecraft applications. Miller et al,²⁹ have described an overview of MEMS development for micro- and nano-spacecraft application and emphasized the reliability, packaging, and flight qualification methodologies that need to be developed for MEMS to produce robust MEMS for space applications. Hartley³⁰ discussed the requirements of a nano-g accelerometer developed by NASA in

collaboration with Northeastern University for the tri-axial measurement of orbital drag on the Shuttle and Space Station. It required an acceleration range of 10^{-2} to 10^{-8} g over a frequency range of 0.001-25 Hz.

2. HIGH VOLUME COTS MEMS APPLICATIONS

The most mature MEMS devices are pressure sensors and accelerometers. The manifold absolute pressure (MAP) sensor has been used in automobile industry since 1979.³¹ Today, many automobiles have one of these sensors in their electronic engine control system. Pressure sensors also widely used for medical invasive blood pressure sensor applications. Accelerometer is being used for an airbag crash sensor in automobile since 1990. In addition to significant mass reduction, the integration of diagnostic characteristics into sensors, enable device internal failure detection.

Micromachined accelerometer includes mechanical flexure-supported masses and assembled sensors. The sensor is assembled via integration and then in a closed-rigid package. The sensor consists of a set of fixed beams and a moveable beam structure to sense relative movement. The beam to beam closeness could cause stiction. Hartzel et al,³² developed a methodology for prediction of stiction-related field failures.

Spangler³³ presented development of IC package for micromachined accelerometer for automotive applications. In their recent developmental activities, the use of surface mountable package rather than single in line through package (SIP) was engineered. The surface mountable device (SMD) version gave more life to the existing die product and at the same time, that has met requirements for surface mount components.

3. MEMS RELIABILITY AND KEY FAILURE MECHANISMS

Almost all cited reliability-testing issues were summarized for a certain application and cannot usually be used for any other application to benchmark. Understanding of MEMS reliability and technology assurance issues are key to their wider acceptance towards high reliability applications as well as technology transfer their commercialization. MEMS reliability is one of the most difficult questions to answer since they are still in their infancy, developed for specific applications, and reliability requirements vary and finally, which frequently depend on the user requirements. In spite of differences, similar common methodologies could be developed for assessing qualification and reliability for those with similar failure mechanisms.

A critical part of understanding the reliability of any system comes from understanding the system failure behavior and their mechanisms. For IC package assembly, failure generally related to solder joint.² In MEMS, there are several failure mechanisms that have been found to be the primary sources. These include:

- Failure by Stiction and Wear: Contrary to solder joint failure for IC system failure, thermal cycling fatigue failure for MEMS are of less critical. Stiction and wear, however, are real concern and cause most failures for MEMS. MEMS failure may occur due to microscopic adhesion when two surfaces are come into contact which is called stiction. Microscopic separations generally induce particulate which when caught between micro parts and stop part movement. Wear due to corrosive environment is another aspect of such failure.
- Environmentally induce failures: Failure due to thermal cycling, vibration, shock, humidity, radiation effect, etc. are commonly observed for MEMS and IC packaging systems. MEMS devices because of having additional mechanical moving parts, are more susceptible to environmental failure than their IC packaging systems.
- Cyclic mechanical fatigue: This is critical for comb and membrane MEMS devices where materials are subjected to alternative loading. Even if the load is such that it is significantly below failure, the stress can cause degradation in materials properties. For example, changes in elastic properties affect resonant and damping characteristics of beam and therefore degrade MEMS sensor outputs.
- Dampening Effect: Dampening is not critical for IC packaging, but it is critical for MEMS devices, which operate with moving parts at their resonant frequency. Dampening can cause by many variables including presence of gas in atmosphere. Therefore, good sealing is essential for avoidance of such failure.
- Delamination: Delamination of thin film material bonded to another surface is a concern. Delamination can cause catastrophic failure or degrade device function due to alteration of materials characteristics.

4. COTS MEMS PROGRAM

It is apparent that a single set of reliability testing requirements for a wide application may not be possible for evaluation of MEMS technology. However, finding a common denominator and standardized testing based on the MEMS key failure mechanisms are valuable to user community. The users can carry out then any additional reliability testing specifically needed for their applications thus minimizing the cost of new technology implementation. The standardized test methodology when developed will also reduce unclear communication between users and suppliers thus avoiding any unnecessary expenses. We consider that it will be easier to start with high volume COTS type MEMS components, which have potential for high reliability application. In addition, because of their availability and lower cost, a large number of these components can be tested to generate statistically meaningful reliability data.

JPL is initiating COTS MEMS program with the objectives of understanding quality and reliability assurance associated with implementation of this technology and help to build needed infrastructure. Similarly, to COTS IC packaging program^{1,2}, it is intended to form an industry-wide consortium from aerospace, military, and commercial sectors. Consortium will emphasize development of test methodologies for characterizing reliability of COTS pressure sensors and accelerometers. Both these technologies were used and being considered for high reliability applications. For example, a COTS MEMS micromachined accelerometer was used for NASA-JPL Mars Microprobe, which launched in January 3, 1999 aboard the international Mars Polar Lander.²⁹ A COTS MEMS pressure sensor is also being evaluated at NASA Glenn Research Center for measuring airflow of inlet compressor of a turbofan propulsion system.³⁴

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Part of research described in this publication was carried out by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

REFERENCES

1. R. Ghaffarian, "Ball Grid Array Packaging Guidelines," Interconnect Technology Research Institute (ITRI), August 1998, <http://www.ITRI.org>
2. E.J. Simeus, S. Stegura, R. Smedley, I. Sterian, A. Chen, C. Quan, R. Mohabir, J. Bragg, K. Chang, M. Chan, T. Buschor, D. Norton, R. Ghaffarian and J.K. Bonner, "CSP Consortia Activities: Program Objectives and Status," Surface Mount International Proceedings, August 23-27, 1998, pp. 203-230.
3. B. Stark (editor) "MEMS Reliability Assurance Guidelines for Space Applications," Jet Propulsion Laboratory Publication 99-1, Pasadena, USA, January 1999.
4. W.H. Ko, J.T. Suminto and G.J. Yeh, "Bonding Techniques for Microsensors," in Micromachining and Micropackaging of Transducers, C.D. Fung, P.W. Cheung, W.H. Ko, and D.G. Fleming, Ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands, pp. 41 - 61 (1985).
5. T.A.H.N. Suga, "A novel approach to assembly and interconnection for microelectromechanical systems," Proceedings IEEE Microelectromechanical Systems (MEMS'95) p. 413 - 418, Amsterdam, Netherlands (1995).
6. B. Hok, C. Dubon, and C. Overen, Appl. Phys. Lett., 43, 267 - 269 (1983).
7. K. Minami, T. Moriuchi and M. Esashi, "Cavity pressure control for critical damping of packaged micromechanical devices," 8th International Conference on Solid-State Sensors and Actuators (Transducers '95), Stockholm, Sweden, pp. 240 - 243, June 1995.
8. R. Ramesham, "Non-evaporable getters to maintain vacuum in sealed MEMS," NPO-20617, NASA Tech Brief Pasadena, CA (1999).
9. A. Corazza and R.C. Kullberg, "Vacuum maintenance in hermetically sealed MEMS packages," SAES Getters, Preprint, Colorado, USA.
10. R.K. Tanger, "Hermeticity of Polymeric Lid Sealants," 26th Electronic Components Conference, San Francisco, CA, pp. 361 - 367 (1976).
11. SSI Technologies, Inc., "Solid-State Integrated Pressure Sensor," 1995, SSI Technologies, Inc., Janesville, WI
12. W.C. Tang, T.H. Nguyen and R.T. Howe, Sensors and Actuators, 20, 25-32 (1989).
13. J. Mallon, J. Bryzek, J. Ramsey, G. Tomblin, and F. Pourahmadi, "Low-cost high volume packaging techniques for silicon sensors and actuators," Technical Digest, 3rd IEEE solid-state sensor and actuator workshop, Hilton Head Island, S.C., June 6 - 8, 1988.

14. R. Frank, M.L. Kniffin, and L. Ristic, Chapter 6 in *Sensors Technology* Edited by Ljubisa Ristic, Artech House, Boston, 1994.
15. S.D. Senturia and R.L. Smith, "Microsensor packaging and system partitioning," *Sensors and Actuators*, 15, 221 – 234 (1988).
16. H. Reichl, "Environmental Screening of Packaging and interconnections," *Environmentally Conscious Design and Inverse Manufacturing*, 1999. Proceedings. EcoDesign '99: First International Symposium On , Page(s): 754 -759
17. N.P. Kim, K. Li, D.J. Kovach, C-P. Chen and M.H. Tanielian, "Smart Sensor Multi-Chip Module with Direct-Chip-Attached Micro-Electro-Mechanical System (MEMS) Devices", to be published in 1999 IMAPS Proceedings, Chicago, IL, Oct 1999.
18. A.D. Romig (Jr), P.V. Dressendorfer, D.W. Palmer, "High performance microsystem packaging: a perspective," *Microelectronics and Reliability* v 37, n 10-11, Oct-Nov 1997.
19. S.B. Brown, W. van Arsdell and C.L. Muhlstein, "Materials reliability in MEMS devices," *Transducers 97, International Conference on Solid-State Sensors and Actuators*, Vol.1 p.591-593, 1997.
20. S. Petrovic, A. Ramirez, T. Maudie, D. Stanerson, J. Wertz, G. Bitko, J. Matkin and D.J. Monk, "Reliability test methods for media-compatible pressure sensors," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics* v 45 n 6 Dec 1998. P 877-885.
21. K. Dyrbye, T.R. Brown, G.F. Eriksen, F. Gert and D. Bjerringbro, "Packaging of physical sensors for aggressive media applications" *J. Micromechanics and Microengineering* v 6, n 1, p 187-192, Mar 1996.
22. W.M. Miller, D.M. Tanner, S.L. Miller and K. Peterson, "A.MEMS reliability: The challenge and the promise," SAND-98-0630C; CONF-980558, 9p, 31 May 1998.
23. D. Tanner, W.M. Miller, W.P. Eaton, L.W. Irwin, K.A. Peterson, M.T. Dugger, D.C. Senft, N.F. Smith, P. Tangyunyong and S.L. Miller, "The Effect of Frequency on the Lifetime of a Surface Micromachined Microengine Driving a Load," 1998 IEEE International Reliability Physics Proceedings, pp. 26-35.
24. D. M. Tanner, J. A. Walraven, L. W. Irwin, M. T. Dugger, N. F. Smith, W. M. Miller, and S. L. Miller, *Proc. Of IEEE International Reliability Physics Symposium*, pp. 189-197, 1999.
25. Patton, S.T., et al, "Performance and Reliability of a New MEMS Electrostatic Lateral Output Motor," *IEEE International Reliability Physics Proceedings*, pp. 179-189, 1999.
26. G. Kelly, J. Alderman, C. Lyden, J. Barret, "Microsystem packaging: Lessons from conventional low cost IC packaging," *Proc. Micro Mechanics Europe, Barcelona*, pp. 219 – 224, October 21 – 22, 1996.
27. L. Muller, M.H. Hecht, L.M. Miller, H.K. Rockstad, J.C. Lyke, "Packaging and qualification of MEMS-based space systems," *Proceedings of the 9th Annual International Workshop on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems*, 1995.
28. T.K. Tang, R.C. Guiterez, C.B. Stell, V. Vorperian, G.A. Arakaki, J.T. Rice, W.J. Li, I. Chakraborty, K. Shcheglov, J.Z. Wilcox and W.J. Kaiser, *The 10th Annual International Workshop on MEMS: an Investigation of microstructures, sensors, actuators, machines, and robots: proceedings*, Nagoya, Japan, pp. 500 – 505, January 26-30, 1997.
29. L.M. Miller, "MEMS for Space Applications" *Proceedings of SPIE, Design, Test, and Microfabrication of MEMS and MOEMS*, Vol 3680, SPIE, Edited by B. Courtois, S.B. Crary, W. Ehrfeld, H. Fujita, J. M. Karam, K. Markus, 30 March – 1 April 1999, Paris, France.
30. F. Hartley, "Terrestrial Applications of a Nano-g Accelerometer," *Proceedings of Sensors Expo, Baltimore, Maryland*, pp. 359-362, May 4-6, 1999.
31. R. Grace, "Automotive Applications of MEMS/MST/Micromachines," *Proceedings of Sensors Expo, Baltimore, Maryland*, May 4-6, 1999, pp. 351-358.
32. A. Hartzel and D. Woodilla, "Reliability Methodology for Prediction of Micromachined Accelerometer Suction," *IEEE International Reliability Physics Proceedings*, pp. 202-205, 1999.
33. L. Spangler, "Integrated Circuit Package Technology for Micromachined Accelerometers," *NEPCON 1999 proceedings*, pp. 1007-1013, 1999.
34. F. Smith, "MEMS Total Pressure Probe Progress Report," *IMAPS Southern California Symposium*, May 12-13, 1999.